



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,106	06/03/2002	Max Maier	MEN-PT007	7823
3624	7590 07/24/2003			
VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET			EXAMI	NER
			BASICHAS,	ALFRED
PHILADELPI	HIA, PA 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	8
			DATE MAILED: 07/24/2003	D

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			l√\			
•		Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/019,106	MAIER, MAX			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alfred Basichas	3743			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC missions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) a period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended pe	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of third tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON till, by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>03 <i>Jun</i>e 2002</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1.2 and 29-54 is/are pending	g in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from consideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,29-40,42-46,53 and 54</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🖂	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,<i>41</i> and 47-52</u> is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
′=	The specification is objected to by the					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted or b) objected to by i	the Examiner.			
—	Applicant may not request that any object					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
•	The oath or declaration is objected to t	by the Examiner.				
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority d					
		ocuments have been received in A				
* (Copies of the certified copies of application from the Interna See the attached detailed Office action 	tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
14) 🗌 <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
) The translation of the foreign lang Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	O-948) , 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and T	rademark Office					

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 3-28 have been renumbered 29-54. Claims 1, 2, and 29-54 are objected to because of the following informalities: The term "characterized in that" should be changed to "wherein" or "comprising", so as to conform to U.S. practice.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,106

Art Unit: 3743

5. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949).

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 29, 33-35, 38-40, 42-46, 53, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lipstein (3,131,688), which shows all of the

Art Unit: 3743

claimed limitations. Lipstein shows an air extraction apparatus for a work station, especially one at which heat is supplied to food, having formed on both sides of the work station respective air openings which are connected to a blower and a filter arrangement, air openings (37, 41), the blower (32), the filter arrangement (39,46,460) and a region (fig. 1,2,6) located between the air openings and immediately above the work station, form a closed air circulation loop which produces an air curtain during operation of the air extraction apparatus in the region between the air opening (41) on an upstream side of the work station and the air opening (36) on a downstream side of the work station, the blower and the filter arrangement are housed in a space which lies within the air circulation loop and is connected to the air openings on both sides of the work station (fig. 1), the air circulation loop includes an air outlet (29) for a portion of the air from the air circulation loop, the air outlet being located downstream from the blower and the filter arrangement (fig. 1)), and the blower is located between at least two filters (39,46) of the filter arrangement, the filter upstream of the blower being a grease separating filter (46) and the filter downstream of the blower being an odor filter (39).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3743

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any invention's covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 11. Claims 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipstein (3,131,688), which discloses substantially all of the claimed limitations. Lipstein does not specifically recite a cyclone filter, a zeolite filter, or the type of grease filter

Art Unit: 3743

requiring a grease collection pan. The type of filter utilized is purely based on availability and cost considerations. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have incorporated the claimed filters into the invention disclosed by Lipstein, so as to provide a cost efficient substitute.

12. Claims 36 and 37, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipstein (3,131,688), which discloses substantially all of the claimed limitations. Lipstein does not specifically recite the claimed range. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the claimed range into the invention disclosed by Lipstein, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 2, 41, and 47-52 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,106

Art Unit: 3743

Conclusion

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alfred Basichas whose telephone number is 703 306

3476. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexible.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 703 308 0101. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9302

for regular communications and 703 872 9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308

0861.

July 11, 2003

Alfred Basichas

103 306 34/6